

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/936,818	02/28/2002	Koji Takahashi	829-585	1578
23117 75	590 05/16/2006		EXAMINER	
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC			SONG, MATTHEW J	
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22203		OK	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1722	
			DATE MAILED: 05/16/2000	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/936,818	TAKAHASHI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Matthew J. Song	1722	

·	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED <u>24 April 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:	(3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	. In
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension feet have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension for under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely file may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ee) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Sing a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS	of ce
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling to non-allowable claim(s). 	he
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary as was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).	ì
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)13. Other:	

Application/Control Number: 09/936,818 Page 2

Art Unit: 1722

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because applicant's arguments are not found persuasive.

Applicant's argument that Tomomura does not suggest using a temperature of 500-750°C when forming a layer with Al therein via MBE is noted but is not found persuasive. Tomomura explicitly teaches forming a III-V compound semiconductor which comprises nitrogen at at least one other Group V element and maintaining the substrate temperature at a temperature in the range of 500-750°C when using an ammonia precursor (claims 1, 4 and 6, and col 7, ln 30-35). Tomomura also teaches the group III elements would include Aluminum (col 15, ln 1-35). Clearly, Tomomura suggests forming a group III-V semiconductor which includes aluminum while maintaining a substrate temperature in the range of 500-750°C when using an ammonia source gas.

Applicant's argument that the instant inventors have surprisingly found that using temperatures from 450-640°C during MBE is surprisingly beneficial when supplying NH₃ and Al at the same time is noted but is not found persuasive. This argument is viewed as mere attorney argument, which lacks evidence; therefore is not found persuasive. There is no evidence to support applicant's allegation of unexpected results. Furthermore, the range of applicant's alleged unexpected results, 450-640°C clearly overlaps the known temperature ranges of 500-750°C, as taught by Tomomura. Overlapping ranges are held to be obvious (MPEP 2144.05).

YOGENDRA N. GUPTA
SUPERVISORY FOR AT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY DENTER 1700